

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/806,812	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CESARE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hai Vo	<b>Art Unit</b> 1771	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** 071

 (1) Hai Vo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) THomas Lagaly.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 May 2004
**Time:** 03:30 pm
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Lagaly agrees to amend claim 6 in a manner as suggested by the examiner to correct its improper dependency and place the instant claims in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hai Vo

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)